

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The rejections presented in the Office Action dated August 28, 2008 (hereinafter Office Action) have been considered. Claims 27-52 remain pending in the application. Reconsideration of the pending claims and allowance of the application in view of the present response is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-6, 10, 16, 17, 18, 21 and 22 are rejected based on 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,980,826 to Yamaguchi (hereinafter “Yamaguchi”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,778,367 to Wesinger et al. (hereinafter “Wesinger”) and further in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0139144 by Kitajima et al. (hereinafter “Kitajima”). Claims 7-9, 11-15, 19, 20, and 23-26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) in view of Yamaguchi, Wesinger, and Kitajima in combination with additional references.

The rejections of Claims 1-26 are now moot in due to the cancellation of the Claims 1-26 without prejudice or disclaimer. Thus, without acquiescence of the rejections or reasons therefor, the Applicants reserve the right to file continuing applications involving the original subject matter of the application, including that subject matter associated with now cancelled Claims 1-26.

Applicants present newly added Claims 27-52. The Applicants assert that Claims 27-52 are fully supported in the Application as filed, and no new matter has been added. See, e.g., the Specification as filed at page 6, lines 10-12; page 6, lines 28-31; page 24, lines 8-25, page 25, lines 11-17; and FIGS. 3, 7, and 9. Entry and allowance of Claims 27-52 is respectfully requested.

Applicants further assert these newly added claims are allowable over the combinations of references cited in the Office Action. For example, independent Claim 27 is directed to an apparatus that wirelessly connect to one or more proximate external devices. The apparatus receives an information request at a mobile server module configured to receive network connection requests. The request is targeted to a common gateway interface of the mobile server module. A device is selected from the one or more proximate external devices. The selected device is capable of dynamically generating data

to fulfill the information request. The apparatus causes the selected device to send a response to the information request independently of human interaction with the apparatus. Applicants submit that independent Claim 27, as well as the rest of Claims 28-37, are allowable over the cited combination of references. For example, the combination of Yamaguchi, Wesinger, and Kitajima at least fails to teach or suggest wireless connection to proximate devices, and selection from the one or more proximate external devices to dynamically generate data to fulfill an information request.

Authorization is given to charge Deposit Account No. 50-3581 (NOKM.054PA) any necessary fees for this filing. If the Examiner believes it necessary or helpful, the undersigned attorney of record invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned attorney to discuss any issues related to this case.

Respectfully submitted,
HOLLINGSWORTH & FUNK, LLC
8009 34th Avenue South, Suite 125
Minneapolis, MN 55425
952.854.2700

Date: March 2, 2009

By: /William B. Ashley/
William B. Ashley
Reg. No. 51,419